

Conformal Spectral Stability Estimates for the Dirichlet Laplacian

V. I. Burenkov,* V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov**

Address and affiliations:

V. I. Burenkov: Professor, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia: Russia, Moscow, 5 Mikluho-Maklay St., Steklov Mathematical Institute: Russia, Moscow, 8 Gubkin St. E-mail: Burenkov@cardiff.ac.uk

V. Gol'dshtein: Professor, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev: Israel, 84105, Beer-Sheva, P.O. Box 653. E-mail: vladimir@math.bgu.ac.il

A. Ukhlov: Associate Professor, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev: Israel, 84105, Beer-Sheva, P.O. Box 653. 972-8-6477830. E-mail: ukhlov@math.bgu.ac.il

ABSTRACT

We study the eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet Laplacian in bounded simply connected plane domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ using conformal transformations of the original problem to the weighted eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet Laplacian in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. This allows us to estimate the variation of the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian upon domain perturbation via energy type integrals for a large class of "conformal regular" domains which includes all quasidisks, i.e. images of the unit disc under quasiconformal homeomorphisms of the plane onto itself. Boundaries of such domains can have any Hausdorff dimension between one and two.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to stability estimates for the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian

$$-\Delta f = -\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}\right), \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \quad f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

It is known that in a bounded plane domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian is discrete and can be written in the form of a non-decreasing sequence

$$0 < \lambda_1[\Omega] \leq \lambda_2[\Omega] \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n[\Omega] \leq \dots,$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated as many times as its multiplicity.

In the last two decades, spectral stability estimates for the Dirichlet Laplacian were intensively studied. See, for example, [23, 11, 20, 10, 7, 8, 21, 3, 9], where the quantity $|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]|$, under certain assumptions on regularity of the domains Ω_1 and Ω_2 , was estimated via various characteristics of the closeness of Ω_1 and Ω_2 such as the so-called atlas distance between Ω_1 and Ω_2 , the Hausdorff-Pompeiu distance between the boundaries $\partial\Omega_1$ and $\partial\Omega_2$, the Lebesgue measure of the symmetric difference of Ω_1 and Ω_2 .

If φ_1 and φ_2 are Lipschitz mappings such that $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$ and $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$, where $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the unit disc, the dependence of $|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]|$ on the closeness

⁰**Key words and phrases:** eigenvalue problem, elliptic equations, conformal mappings, quasidisks.

⁰**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35P15, 35J40, 47A75, 47B25.

^{0*} The author was partially supported by the Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics at the Ben-Gurion University of the Negev and by the Russian Scientific Foundation (project 14-11-00443).

^{0**} The corresponding author.

of the mappings φ_1 and φ_2 was investigated in [20]. See also [7, 8] and survey paper [10], where one can find references to other related results.

Let, for $\tau > 0$, F_τ be the set of all mappings φ of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ in the Sobolev class $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})} \leq \tau, \quad \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\mathbb{D}} |\det \nabla \varphi| \geq \frac{1}{\tau}.$$

Theorem 1.1. [20] *For any $\tau > 0$ there exists $A_\tau > 0$ such that for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in F_\tau$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$(1.1) \quad |\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \leq c_n A_\tau \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})},$$

where $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$, $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$ and

$$(1.2) \quad c_n = \max\{\lambda_n^2[\Omega_1], \lambda_n^2[\Omega_2]\}.$$

This theorem also holds if $\mathbb{D}$ is replaced by any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, such that the embedding $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact [20]. In this case A_τ depends also on the Poincaré constant of Ω .

In [4] (Theorem 6) the stability estimates based on summability assumptions on the gradients of the eigenfunctions were obtained.

In this paper we consider *conformal regular* plane domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. We call a bounded simply connected plane domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ a conformal regular domain if there exists a conformal mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ in the Sobolev class $L^{1,p}(\mathbb{D})$ for some $p > 2$. Note that any conformal regular domain has finite geodesic diameter [17] and can be characterized in the terms of the (quasi)hyperbolic boundary condition [6, 19]. For such domains we improve estimate (1.1).

Let, for $2 < p \leq \infty$, $\tau > 0$, $G_{p,\tau}$ be the set of all conformal mappings φ of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ of the Sobolev class $L^{1,p}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^{1,p}(\mathbb{D})} \leq \tau.$$

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.2. *For any $2 < p \leq \infty$, $\tau > 0$ there exists $B_{p,\tau} > 0$ such that for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in G_{p,\tau}$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$(1.3) \quad |\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \leq c_n B_{p,\tau} \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})},$$

where $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$, $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$.

A more detailed formulation is given in Section 4 (see Theorem 4.3). In Section 5 we consider in more detail the case in which Ω_1 and Ω_2 are quasidisks.

The estimate for $|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]|$ can also be given in terms of the measure variation:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \\ & \leq c_n B_{p,\tau} \left([\operatorname{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^+)) - \operatorname{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^+))] + [\operatorname{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^-)) - \operatorname{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^-))] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{D}^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : J_{\varphi_1}(z) \geq J_{\varphi_2}(z)\}, \quad \mathbb{D}^- = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : J_{\varphi_1}(z) < J_{\varphi_2}(z)\}$$

and $J_{\varphi_1}, J_{\varphi_2}$ are the Jacobians of the mappings φ_1, φ_2 respectively.

Inequalities (1.1) and (1.3) hold for any φ_1, φ_2 under consideration, but they are non-trivial only if

$$\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{D})} < (\sqrt{c_n} A_\tau)^{-1}, \quad \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} < (\sqrt{c_n} B_{p,\tau})^{-1}$$

respectively, because the inequality $|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| < \sqrt{c_n}$ obviously holds for any $\lambda_n[\Omega_1], \lambda_n[\Omega_2]$.

In this article we adopt an investigation method based on the theory of composition operators [26, 27]. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary bounded simply connected plane domain. Consider the eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet Laplacian in Ω

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_w g(w) = \lambda g(w), & w \in \Omega, \\ g|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\Delta_w = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \right), \quad w = u + iv.$$

By the Riemann Mapping Theorem there exists a conformal mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ from the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ to Ω . Then, by the chain rule for the function $f(z) = g \circ \varphi(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_z f(z) &= \Delta_z (g \circ \varphi(z)) = (\Delta_w g)(\varphi(z)) \cdot |\varphi'(z)|^2 \\ &= -\lambda g(\varphi(z)) \cdot |\varphi'(z)|^2 = -\lambda |\varphi'(z)|^2 f(z). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Omega \ni w = \varphi(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence we obtain the weighted eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet Laplacian in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta f(z) = \lambda h(z) f(z), & z \in \mathbb{D}, \\ f|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad h(z) := |\varphi'(z)|^2 = J_\varphi(z) = \frac{\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}^2(z)}{\lambda_\Omega^2(\varphi(z))}$$

is the hyperbolic (conformal) weight defined by the conformal mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$. Here $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}$ and λ_Ω are hyperbolic metrics in $\mathbb{D}$ and Ω respectively [5].

This means that the eigenvalue problem in Ω is equivalent to the weighted eigenvalue problem in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$.

In the sequel we consider the weak formulation the weighted eigenvalue problem, namely:

$$(1.6) \quad \iint_{\mathbb{D}} (\nabla f(z) \cdot \nabla \overline{g(z)}) \, dxdy = \lambda \iint_{\mathbb{D}} h(z) f(z) \overline{g(z)} \, dxdy, \quad \forall g \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}).$$

The method suggested to study the weighted eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet Laplacian is based on the theory of composition operators [26, 27] and the “transfer” diagram suggested in [15]. Universal hyperbolic weights for weighted Sobolev inequalities were introduced in [16] (see also [22]).

2. THE WEIGHTED EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set on the complex plane. The Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is the normed space of all locally integrable weakly differentiable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with finite norm given by

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\iint_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p dx dy \right)^{1/p} + \left(\iint_{\Omega} |\nabla f(z)|^p dx dy \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)| + \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \Omega} |\nabla f(z)|.$$

The Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is the closure in the $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ -norm of the space $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ of all infinitely continuously differentiable functions with compact support in Ω .

The seminormed Sobolev space $L^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is the space of all locally integrable weakly differentiable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with finite seminorm given by

$$\|f\|_{L^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\iint_{\Omega} |\nabla f(z)|^p dx dy \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \Omega} |\nabla f(z)|.$$

The weighted Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega, h)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is the space of all locally integrable functions with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, h)} = \left(\iint_{\Omega} |f(z)|^p h(z) dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Here the weight $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative measurable function.

We define the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, h, 1)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, as the normed space of all locally integrable weakly differentiable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the finite norm given by

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega, h, 1)} = \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, h)} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

The following is an embedding theorem taken from [16] and reformulated for the present situation.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded simply connected domain and $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ be a conformal mapping.*

Then the weighted embedding operator

$$(2.1) \quad i_{\mathbb{D}} : W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)$$

is compact and for any function $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ the inequality

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)} \leq K^* \|f\|_{L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}$$

holds.

Here h is the hyperbolic (conformal) weight defined by equality (1.5). The exact constant $K^* = 1/\sqrt{\lambda_1[\Omega]}$, i. e. is equal to the exact constant in the inequality

$$\|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K \|g\|_{L^{1,2}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall g \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Proof. Since $\varphi^{-1} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a conformal mapping, the composition operator

$$(\varphi^{-1})^* : L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow L^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad (\varphi^{-1})^*(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1},$$

is an isometry [16]. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, then $g = (\varphi^{-1})^*(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. So, for the function $g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ the Poincaré inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq K^* \|g\|_{L^{1,2}(\Omega)}$$

holds with the exact constant $K^* = 1/\sqrt{\lambda_1[\Omega]}$. Hence, using the “transfer” diagram [15] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)} &= \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 h(z) \, dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 J(z, \varphi)(z) \, dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\iint_{\Omega} |f \circ \varphi^{-1}(w)|^2 \, dudw \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K^* \left(\iint_{\Omega} |\nabla f \circ \varphi^{-1}(w)|^2 \, dudw \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= K^* \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f(z)|^2 \, dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = K^* \|f\|_{L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

Approximating an arbitrary function $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ by functions in the space $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we obtain that the inequality

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)} \leq K^* \|f\|_{L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}$$

holds for any function $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$.

Now we prove that the composition operator

$$(\varphi^{-1})^* : W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

is bounded.

Let a function $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. The composition $(\varphi^{-1})^*(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ belongs to $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. So, using the Poincaré inequality (2.2) and the boundedness of the composition operator

$$(\varphi^{-1})^* : L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow L^{1,2}(\Omega),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varphi^{-1})^*(f)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq K^* \|\nabla((\varphi^{-1})^*(f))\|_{L^{1,2}(\Omega)} \\ &= K^* \|\nabla f\|_{L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq K^* \|f\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here K^* is the norm of the embedding operator $i : L^{1,2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, i.e the exact constant in the corresponding Poincaré inequality (2.2).

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varphi^{-1})^*(f)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} &= \|(\varphi^{-1})^*(f)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|(\varphi^{-1})^*(f)\|_{L^{1,2}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq K^* \|\nabla f\|_{L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq (K^* + 1) \|f\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

Approximating an arbitrary function $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$ by functions in the space $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we obtain that the inequality

$$\|(\varphi^{-1})^*(f)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq (K^* + 1) \|f\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})}$$

holds for any function $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$.

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)} &= \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 h(z) \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 J_{\varphi}(z) \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\iint_{\Omega} |f \circ \varphi^{-1}(w)|^2 \, dudv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

and the composition operator

$$\varphi^* : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)$$

is bounded ($\varphi^*(f) = f \circ \varphi$).

Hence the embedding operator (2.1) is compact as it is the composition of the bounded composition operator $\varphi^* : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{D}, h)$ and the compact embedding operator $i_{\Omega} : W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$. $\square$

By Theorem 2.1 it immediately follows that the spectrum of the weighted eigenvalue problem (1.6) with hyperbolic (conformal) weights h is discrete and can be written in the form of a non-decreasing sequence

$$0 < \lambda_1[h] \leq \lambda_2[h] \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n[h] \leq \dots,$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated as many times as its multiplicity. The weighted eigenvalue problem in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem in the domain $\Omega = \varphi(\mathbb{D})$ (see also, for example [22]) and

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda_n[h] = \lambda_n[\Omega], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For weighted eigenvalues (eigenvalues in Ω) we have also the following properties:

$$(i) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n[h] = \infty,$$

(ii) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_n[\Omega] = \lambda_n[h] = \inf_{\substack{L \subset W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ \dim L = n}} \sup_{\substack{f \in L \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\Omega} |f|^2 \, dxdy} = \inf_{\substack{L \subset W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}, h, 1) \\ \dim L = n}} \sup_{\substack{f \in L \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 h(z) \, dxdy}$$

(Min-Max Principle), and

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda_n[h] = \sup_{\substack{f \in M_n \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 h(z) \, dxdy}$$

where

$$M_n = \text{span} \{ \psi_1[h], \dots, \psi_n[h] \}$$

and $\{ \psi_k[h] \}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal set of eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues $\{ \lambda_k[h] \}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

(ii) If $n = 1$, then formula (2.4) reduces to

$$\lambda_1[\Omega] = \lambda_1[h] = \inf_{\substack{f \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\Omega} |f|^2 \, dxdy} = \inf_{\substack{f \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}, h, 1) \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 h(z) \, dxdy}.$$

In other words

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_1[\Omega] = \lambda_1[h] = \frac{1}{(K^*)^2}$$

where K^* is the sharp constant in the inequality

$$(2.7) \quad \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 h(z) \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K^* \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall f \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

3. THE $L^{1,2}$ -SEMINORM ESTIMATES

We consider two weighted eigenvalue problems in the unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$:

$$\iint_{\mathbb{D}} (\nabla f(z) \cdot \nabla \overline{g(z)}) \, dxdy = \lambda \iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_1(z) f(z) \overline{g(z)} \, dxdy, \quad \forall g \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}).$$

and

$$\iint_{\mathbb{D}} (\nabla f(z) \cdot \nabla \overline{g(z)}) \, dxdy = \lambda \iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z) f(z) \overline{g(z)} \, dxdy, \quad \forall g \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}).$$

The aim of this section is to estimate the “distance” between weighted eigenvalues $\lambda_n[h_1]$ and $\lambda_n[h_2]$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit disc and let h_1, h_2 be conformal weights on $\mathbb{D}$. Suppose that there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |h_1(z) - h_2(z)| |f|^2 \, dxdy \leq B \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy, \quad \forall f \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(3.2) \quad |\lambda_n[h_1] - \lambda_n[h_2]| \leq \frac{B\tilde{c}_n}{1 + B\sqrt{\tilde{c}_n}} < B\tilde{c}_n,$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{c}_n = \max\{\lambda_n^2[h_1], \lambda_n^2[h_2]\}.$$

Proof. By (2.5)

$$\lambda_n[h_1] = \sup_{\substack{f \in M_n^{(1)} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_1(x) |f|^2 \, dxdy},$$

where

$$M_n^{(1)} = \text{span}\{\psi_1[h_1], \dots, \psi_n[h_1]\}.$$

Hence, by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_n[h_1] &\geq \sup_{\substack{f \in M_n^{(1)} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2 \, dxdy + \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |h_1(z) - h_2(z)||f|^2 \, dxdy} \\
&\geq \sup_{\substack{f \in M_n^{(1)} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2 \, dxdy + B \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy} \\
&= \sup_{\substack{f \in M_n^{(1)} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2 \, dxdy} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + B \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2 \, dxdy}} \\
&\geq \sup_{\substack{f \in M_n^{(1)} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2 \, dxdy} \cdot \inf_{\substack{f \in M_n^{(1)} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{1}{1 + B \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2 \, dxdy}} \\
&= \sup_{\substack{f \in M_n^{(1)} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2 \, dxdy} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + B \sup_{\substack{f \in M_n^{(1)} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2 \, dxdy}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the function $F(t) = t/(1 + Bt)$ is non-decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ and by (2.4)

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in M_n^{(1)} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f|^2 \, dxdy}{\iint_{\mathbb{D}} h_2(z)|f|^2 \, dxdy} \geq \lambda_n[h_2],$$

it follows that

$$\lambda_n[h_1] \geq \frac{\lambda_n[h_2]}{1 + B\lambda_n[h_2]} = \lambda_n[h_2] - \frac{B\lambda_n^2[h_2]}{1 + B\lambda_n[h_2]}.$$

Hence

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda_n[h_1] - \lambda_n[h_2] \geq -\frac{B\lambda_n^2[h_2]}{1 + B\lambda_n[h_2]} \geq -\frac{B\tilde{c}_n}{1 + B\sqrt{\tilde{c}_n}}.$$

For similar reasons

$$\lambda_n[h_2] - \lambda_n[h_1] \geq -\frac{B\lambda_n^2[h_1]}{1 + B\lambda_n[h_1]}$$

or

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda_n[h_1] - \lambda_n[h_2] \leq \frac{B\lambda_n^2[h_1]}{1 + B\lambda_n[h_1]} \leq \frac{B\tilde{c}_n}{1 + B\sqrt{\tilde{c}_n}}.$$

Inequalities (3.4) and (3.5) imply inequality (3.2). $\square$

Remark. By equality (2.6) the minimal value of B in inequality (3.1) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1[|h_1 - h_2|]}.$$

Hence inequality (3.2) implies that

$$|\lambda_n[h_1] - \lambda_n[h_2]| \leq \frac{\max\{\lambda_n^2[h_1], \lambda_n^2[h_2]\}}{\lambda_1[|h_1 - h_2|]}.$$

Now we estimate the constant B in Lemma 3.1 in terms of “distances” between weights.

Recall that for any $2 \leq q < \infty$ the Sobolev inequality

$$(3.6) \quad \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{D})} \leq C(q) \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})}$$

holds for any function $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{D})$. We assume that $C(q)$ is the best possible constant in this inequality.

Lemma 3.2. *Let h_1, h_2 be conformal weights on $\mathbb{D}$ such that*

$$(3.7) \quad d_s(h_1, h_2) := \|h_1 - h_2\|_{L^s(\mathbb{D})} < \infty$$

for some $1 < s \leq \infty$.

Then inequality (3.1) holds with the constant

$$(3.8) \quad B = \left[C\left(\frac{2s}{s-1}\right) \right]^2 d_s(h_1, h_2).$$

Proof. By the Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality (3.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |h_1(z) - h_2(z)| |f|^2 \, dx dy \\ & \leq \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} (|h_1(z) - h_2(z)|)^s \, dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^{\frac{2s}{s-1}} \, dx dy \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \\ & \leq \left[C\left(\frac{2s}{s-1}\right) \right]^2 d_s(h_1, h_2) \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla f(z)|^2 \, dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

□

By the two previous lemmas we get immediately the main result for the difference of weighted eigenvalues:

Theorem 3.3. *Let h_1, h_2 conformal weights on $\mathbb{D}$. Suppose that $d_s(h_1, h_2) < \infty$ for some $s > 1$.*

Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|\lambda_n[h_1] - \lambda_n[h_2]| \leq \tilde{c}_n \left[C\left(\frac{2s}{s-1}\right) \right]^2 d_s(h_1, h_2).$$

4. ON “DISTANCES” $d_s(h_1, h_2)$ FOR HYPERBOLIC (CONFORMAL) WEIGHTS h_1, h_2

Let us analyze “distances” $d_s(h_1, h_2)$ for hyperbolic (conformal) weights.

Recall that hyperbolic (conformal) weights $h_1(z), h_2(z)$ for bounded simply connected plane domains are Jacobians $J_{\varphi_1}(z), J_{\varphi_2}(z)$ of conformal homeomorphisms

$$\varphi_1 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_1, \quad \varphi_2 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_2.$$

Since Ω_1, Ω_2 are bounded domains the Jacobians $J_{\varphi_1}(z), J_{\varphi_2}(z)$ are integrable, i. e. $\varphi_1', \varphi_2' \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$. An example of the unit disc without the interval $(0, 1)$ on the horizontal axis demonstrates that for general simply connected domains Ω the Jacobians of conformal homeomorphisms $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ need not be a power greater

than 1. Hence the integrability of Jacobians to the power $s > 1$ is possible only under additional assumptions on Ω .

In [17] it is proved that such integrability is possible only for domains with finite geodesic diameter. Hence $d_1(h_1, h_2) < \infty$ but, for $s > 1$, the quantity $d_s(h_1, h_2)$ is not defined for all pairs of conformal weights h_1, h_2 .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_1$, $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be conformal homeomorphisms and h_1, h_2 be the corresponding conformal weights. Suppose that $|\varphi'_1|, |\varphi'_2| \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$ for some $2 < p \leq \infty$.*

Then for $s = \frac{2p}{p+2}$

$$(4.1) \quad d_s(h_1, h_2) \leq (\|\varphi'_1\| + \|\varphi'_2\|) \cdot \| |\varphi'_1| - |\varphi'_2| \|.$$

Proof. By the definitions of h_1, h_2 and $d_s(h_1, h_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} [d_s(h_1, h_2)]^s &= \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |h_1(z) - h_2(z)|^s dx dy = \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left| |\varphi'_1(z)|^2 - |\varphi'_2(z)|^2 \right|^s dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left| |\varphi'_1(z)| + |\varphi'_2(z)| \right|^s \left| |\varphi'_1(z)| - |\varphi'_2(z)| \right|^s dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Applying to the last integral the Hölder inequality with $r = \frac{2}{s}$ ($1 \leq r < 2$ because $1 < s \leq 2$) and $r' = \frac{r}{r-1} = \frac{2}{2-s}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [d_s(h_1, h_2)]^s &\leq \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left| |\varphi'_1(z)| + |\varphi'_2(z)| \right|^{\frac{2s}{2-s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{2-s}{2}} \left(\iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left| |\varphi'_1(z)| - |\varphi'_2(z)| \right|^2 dx dy \right)^{\frac{s}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $s = \frac{2p}{p+2}$ we have

$$d_s(h_1, h_2) \leq (\|\varphi'_1\| + \|\varphi'_2\|) \cdot \| |\varphi'_1| - |\varphi'_2| \|.$$

□

Note that integral estimate (4.1) can be rewritten in terms of the measure variation.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_1$, $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be conformal homeomorphisms. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\| |\varphi'_1| - |\varphi'_2| \| \cdot L^2(\mathbb{D}) \\ &\leq \left([\text{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^+)) - \text{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^+))] + [\text{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^-)) - \text{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^-))] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sets $\mathbb{D}^+$ and $\mathbb{D}^-$ are defined by equalities (1.4).

Proof. By using the elementary inequality $(a - b)^2 \leq |a^2 - b^2|$ for any $a, b \geq 0$ and the equality $|\varphi'_1(z)|^2 = J_\varphi$ for conformal homeomorphisms we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathbb{D}} (|\varphi'_1(z)| - |\varphi'_2(z)|)^2 dx dy \\
& \leq \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left| |\varphi'_1(z)|^2 - |\varphi'_2(z)|^2 \right| dx dy = \iint_{\mathbb{D}} |J_{\varphi_1}(z) - J_{\varphi_2}(z)| dx dy \\
& = \iint_{\mathbb{D}^+} (J_{\varphi_1}(z) - J_{\varphi_2}(z)) dx dy + \iint_{\mathbb{D}^-} (J_{\varphi_2}(z) - J_{\varphi_1}(z)) dx dy \\
& = \left([\text{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^+)) - \text{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^+))] + [\text{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^-)) - \text{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^-))] \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

By combining Lemma 4.1, Theorem 3.3, equality (2.3), by applying the triangle inequality and taking into account that $\frac{2s}{s-1} = \frac{4p}{p-2}$ for $s = \frac{2p}{p+2}$, we obtain the main result of this paper:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_1$, $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be conformal mappings. Suppose that $|\varphi'_1|, |\varphi'_2| \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$ for some $2 < p \leq \infty$.*

Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \leq c_n \left[C \left(\frac{4p}{p-2} \right) \right]^2 \left(\|\varphi'_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D})} + \|\varphi'_2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D})} \right) \|\varphi'_1 - \varphi'_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})},$$

where $\Omega_1 = \varphi_1(\mathbb{D})$, $\Omega_2 = \varphi_2(\mathbb{D})$ and c_n is defined by equality (1.2).

By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 follows the estimate in terms of the measure variation:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| & \leq c_n \left[C \left(\frac{4p}{p-2} \right) \right]^2 \left(\|\varphi'_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D})} + \|\varphi'_2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D})} \right) \times \\
& \times \left([\text{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^+)) - \text{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^+))] + [\text{meas}(\varphi_2(\mathbb{D}^-)) - \text{meas}(\varphi_1(\mathbb{D}^-))] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

5. QUASIDISCS

Now we describe a rather wide class of plane domains for which there exist conformal mappings with Jacobians of the class $L^p(\mathbb{D})$ for some $p > 1$, i.e. with complex derivatives of the class $L^p(\mathbb{D})$ for some $p > 2$.

Definition 5.1. A homeomorphism $\varphi : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ between planar domains is called K -quasiconformal if it preserves orientation, belongs to the Sobolev class $W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega_1)$ and its directional derivatives ∂_α satisfy the distortion inequality

$$\max_\alpha |\partial_\alpha \varphi| \leq K \min_\alpha |\partial_\alpha \varphi| \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_1.$$

Infinitesimally, quasiconformal homeomorphisms transform circles to ellipses with eccentricity uniformly bounded by K . If $K = 1$ we recover conformal homeomorphisms, while for $K > 1$ plane quasiconformal mappings need not be smooth.

Definition 5.2. A domain Ω is called a K -quasidisc if it is the image of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ under a K -quasiconformal homeomorphism of the plane onto itself.

It is well known that the boundary of any K -quasidisc Ω admits a K^2 -quasi-conformal reflection [12] and thus, for example, any conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ can be extended to a K^2 -quasiconformal homeomorphism of the whole plane to itself.

The boundaries of quasidisks are called quasicircles. It is known that there are quasicircles for which no segment has finite length. The Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles was first investigated by F. W. Gehring and J. Väisälä [13], who proved that it can take all values in the interval $[1, 2)$. S. Smirnov proved recently [25] that the Hausdorff dimension of any K -quasicircle is at most $1 + k^2$, where $k = (K - 1)/(K + 1)$.

Ahlfors's 3-point condition [1] gives a complete geometric characterization of quasicircles: a Jordan curve γ in the plane is a quasicircle if and only if for each two points a, b in γ the (smaller) arc between them has diameter comparable with $|a - b|$. This condition is easily checked for the snowflake. On the other hand, every quasicircle can be obtained by an explicit snowflake-type construction (see [24]).

For any planar K -quasiconformal homeomorphism $\varphi : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ the following sharp result is known: $J(z, \varphi) \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega_1)$ for any $p < \frac{K}{K-1}$ ([14, 2]).

Proposition 5.3. *Any conformal mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ of the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ onto a K -quasidisc Ω belongs to $L^{1,p}(\mathbb{D})$ for any $1 \leq p < \frac{2K^2}{K^2-1}$.*

Proof. Any conformal mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ can be extended to a K^2 quasiconformal homeomorphism ψ of the whole plane to the whole plane by reflection. Since the domain Ω is bounded, ψ belongs to the class $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $1 \leq p < \frac{2K^2}{K^2-1}$ ([14, 2]). Therefore φ belongs to the same class. $\square$

Denote, for $K \geq 1$, by A_K the class of all K -quasidisks. Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 5.3 imply the following statement.

Theorem 5.4. *For any $K \geq 1$ there exists $p > 2$ and $M > 0$ such that, for any quasidisks $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in A_K$ and conformal mappings $\varphi_k : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_k$, $k=1,2$, $|\varphi'_1|, |\varphi'_2| \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$|\lambda_n[\Omega_1] - \lambda_n[\Omega_2]| \leq c_n M \left(\|\varphi'_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D})} + \|\varphi'_2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D})} \right) \|\varphi'_1 - \varphi'_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})},$$

where c_n is defined by equality (1.2).

Proof. Since $\frac{2K^2}{K^2-1} > 2$, by Proposition 5.3 there exists $2 < p < \frac{2K^2}{K^2-1}$, say $p = \frac{2K^2-1}{K^2-1}$, such that $|\varphi'_1|, |\varphi'_2| \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.3 the statement follows with, say $p = \frac{2K^2-1}{K^2-1}$ and

$$M = \left[C \left(\frac{4p}{p-2} \right) \right]^2 = [C(4(2K^2-1))]^2.$$

$\square$

Acknowledgments.

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for careful reading of the paper and really valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors, Quasiconformal reflections. *Acta Math.* 109 (1963), 291–301,
- [2] K. Astala, Area distortion of quasiconformal mappings. *Acta Math.* 173 (1994), 37–60
- [3] G. Barbatis, V. I. Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, Stability estimates for resolvents, eigenvalues, and eigenfunctions of elliptic operators on variable domains. *Around research of Vladimir Maz'ya. II. International Mathematical Series*, Springer, New York 11 (2009), 23–60.
- [4] G. Barbatis, P. D. Lamberti, Spectral stability estimates for elliptic operators subject to domain transformations with non-uniformly bounded gradients, *Mathematika* 58 (2012), 324–348.
- [5] A. F. Beardon, D. Minda, The hyperbolic metric and geometric function theory. *Quasiconformal mappings and their applications*, Narosa, New Delhi (2007), 9–56.
- [6] J. Becker, C. Pommerenke, Hölder continuity of conformal maps with quasiconformal extensions, *Complex Variable Theory Appl.* 10 (1988), 267–272.
- [7] V. I. Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, Spectral stability of Dirichlet second order uniformly elliptic operators. *J. Differential Equations* 244 (2008), 1712–1740.
- [8] V. I. Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, Spectral stability of higher order uniformly elliptic differential operators. *Sobolev spaces in mathematics. II. International Mathematical Series*, Springer, New York 9 (2009), 69–102.
- [9] V. I. Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, Spectral stability estimates via the Lebesgue measure of domains for higher order elliptic operators. *Revista Matemática Compuense* 25 (2012), 435–457.
- [10] V. I. Burenkov, P. D. Lamberti, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, Spectral stability of non-negative self-adjoint operators. *Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl.* 15 (2006), 76–111 (in Russian). English transl. in *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 149 (2006).
- [11] E. B. Davies, Sharp boundary estimates for elliptic operators, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 129 (2000), 165–178.
- [12] F. W. Gehring, K. Hag, Reflections on reflections in quasidisks, *Report. Univ. Jyväskylä*, 83 (2001), 81–90.
- [13] F. W. Gehring, J. Väisälä, Hausdorff dimension and quasiconformal mappings, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 6 (1973), 504–512.
- [14] V. M. Gol'dshtein, The degree of summability of generalized derivatives of quasiconformal homeomorphisms. *Sibirsk. Matem. Zh.* 22 (1981), no. 6, 22–40 (in Russian). (English transl.: *Siberian Math. J.* 22 (1981), no. 6, 821–836).
- [15] V. Gol'dshtein, L. Gurov, Applications of change of variables operators for exact embedding theorems, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 19 (1994), 1–24.
- [16] V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov, Conformal weights and Sobolev embeddings, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* 193 (2013), 202–210.
- [17] V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov, Sobolev homeomorphisms and Brennan's conjecture, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* 14 (2014), 247–256.
- [18] V. Gol'dshtein, A. Ukhlov, Brennan's conjecture for composition operators on Sobolev spaces. *Eurasian Math. J.* 3 (2012), no. 4, 35–43.
- [19] P. Koskela, J. Onninen, J. T. Tyson, Quasihyperbolic boundary conditions and capacity: Poincaré domains *Math. Ann.*, 323 (2002), 811–830.
- [20] P. D. Lamberti, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, A global Lipschitz continuity result for a domain dependent Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for the Laplace operator. *J. Funct. Anal.* 155 (1998), 64–108.
- [21] P. D. Lamberti, M. Perin, On the sharpness of a certain spectral stability estimate for the Dirichlet Laplacian. *Eurasian Math. J.* 1 (2010), no. 1, 111–122.
- [22] R. S. Laugesen, C. Morpurgo, Extremals for eigenvalues of Laplacians under conformal mapping. *J. Funct. Anal.* 155 (1998), 64–108.
- [23] M. M. H. Pang, Approximation of ground state eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of Dirichlet Laplacians, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 29 (1997), 720–730.
- [24] S. Rohde, Quasircles modulo bilipschitz maps. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 17 (2001), no. 3, 643–659.
- [25] S. Smirnov, Dimension of quasircles. *Acta Math.*, 205 (2010), no. 1, 189–197.
- [26] A. Ukhlov, On mappings, which induce embeddings of Sobolev spaces, *Siberian Math. J.* 34 (1993), 185–192.

- [27] S. K. Vodop'yanov, A. D. Ukhlov, Superposition operators in Sobolev spaces. Russian Mathematics (Izvestiya VUZ) 46 (2002), no. 4, 11–33.